

NATIONAL MARKET REPORT • A MONTHLY PROFESSIONAL PUBLICATION

REPORT OF ROOMS OPERATIONS FOR RTO 9 MONTH OF JANUARY 2015

Location	Occupancy Percentage **Point			Average Daily Rate			Revenue Per Available Room		
	2015	2014	Change	2015	2014	Variance	2015	2014	Variance
KINGSTON	51.1%	49.1%		\$116.19	\$100.27	15.9%	\$59.33	\$49.20	20.6%
GANANOQUE	NA	NA	insufficient sample size		NA		NA	NA	
BROCKVILLE	33.8%	38.3%	-4.6	\$102.55	\$96.80	5.9%	\$34.61	\$37.09	-6.7%
TRENTON/BELLEVILLE/NAPANEE	52.0%	50.2%	1.8	\$102.02	\$108.77	-6.2%	\$53.05	\$54.60	-2.8%
CORNWALL/KEMPTVILLE	44.2%	33.7%	10.5	\$85.51	\$90.92	-6.0%	\$37.80	\$30.66	23.3%
TOTAL RTO 9	46.9%	45.2%	1.7	\$108.47	\$101.21	7.2%	\$50.86	\$45.79	11.1%

^{**} Please note that the variance between current and previous year occupancy is reported as a point change and not as a percentage variance.

SOURCE: PKF CONSULTING INC. with reproduction and use of information subject to PKF Disclaimer and Restrictions as detailed at www.pkfcanada.com

REPORT OF ROOMS OPERATIONS FOR RTO 9 MONTH OF FEBRUARY 2015

Location	Occupancy Percentage **Point			Average Daily Rate			Revenue Per Available Room		
	2015	2014	Change	2015	2014	Variance	2015	2014	Variance
KINGSTON	44.3%	48.1%		\$107.84	\$96.28	12.0%	\$47.79	\$46.32	3.2%
GANANOQUE	NA	NA	insufficient sample size		NA		NA	NA	
BROCKVILLE	30.0%	40.8%	-10.8	\$96.14	\$92.32	4.1%	\$28.82	\$37.65	-23.5%
TRENTON/BELLEVILLE/NAPANEE	46.4%	50.0%	-3.7	\$108.85	\$105.87	2.8%	\$50.49	\$52.98	-4.7%
CORNWALL/KEMPTVILLE	29.8%	40.7%	-10.9	\$84.48	\$90.61	-6.8%	\$25.15	\$36.92	-31.9%
TOTAL RTO 9	40.6%	45.7%	-5.1	\$105.11	\$97.71	7.6%	\$42.65	\$44.67	-4.5%

^{**} Please note that the variance between current and previous year occupancy is reported as a point change and not as a percentage variance.

SOURCE: PKF CONSULTING INC. with reproduction and use of information subject to PKF Disclaimer and Restrictions as detailed at www.pkfcanada.com

REPORT OF ROOMS OPERATIONS FOR RTO 9 TWO MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 2015

	Occupancy Percentage			Average Daily Rate			Revenue Per Available Room		
Location			**Point						
	2015	2014	Change	2015	2014	Variance	2015	2014	Variance
KINGSTON	47.9%	48.6%		\$112.60	\$98.39	14.4%	\$53.96	\$47.83	12.8%
GANANOQUE	NA	NA	insufficient sample size		NA		NA	NA	
BROCKVILLE	32.0%	39.5%	-7.5	\$99.70	\$94.60	5.4%	\$31.86	\$37.35	-14.7%
TRENTON/BELLEVILLE/NAPANEE	49.3%	50.1%	-0.8	\$105.07	\$107.36	-2.1%	\$51.83	\$53.81	-3.7%
CORNWALL/KEMPTVILLE	37.4%	37.1%	0.3	\$85.12	\$90.76	-6.2%	\$31.80	\$33.63	-5.4%
TOTAL RTO 9	43.9%	45.5%	-1.6	\$107.01	\$99.53	7.5%	\$47.00	\$45.26	3.8%

^{**} Please note that the variance between current and previous year occupancy is reported as a point change and not as a percentage variance.

SOURCE: PKF CONSULTING INC. with reproduction and use of information subject to PKF Disclaimer and Restrictions as detailed at www.pkfcanada.com

This publication is designed as a reference and a management tool for the internal use of companies and agencies involved in the travel and tourism industry. Reproduction and quotation in documents and matters relating to provision of third party consulting advice, business planning, solicitation of public funds, eith and equity financing, litigation, property tax or other legal and financial matters is NOT PERMITTED without the written permission of PKF Consulting Inc. Quotation and reproduction of this material is permitted otherwise ONLY if credited to PKF Consulting Inc. and referencing our disclaimer as follows::

Source: PKF Consulting Inc. with reproduction and use of information subject to PKF Disclaimer and Restrictions as detailed at www.pkfcanada.com

Trends is compiled and produced by PKF Consulting Inc. and is designed as a reference and management aid. Readers are advised, however, that PKF Consulting Inc. does not represent the data contained herein to be definitive. Neither should the contents of this publication be construed as a recommendation on policies or actions for any individual hotels, associations, or agencies — or their professional managers. Readers are advised that PKF Consulting Inc. and Members of the PKF International Association do not represent the data contained herein to be definitive or all-inclusive. PKF Consulting Inc. believes the information to be reliable but is not responsible for errors in expenditure figures or in other reported source information.